App F IX-4

Preserve

1929. (ML) for 17/7/[illegible]

File No. 43-29

Serial Nos. 65, 66, 73, 78, 84, 85, 86, 88, & 89

Previous References

Later References

ML 196-31 Sls 1 & 2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos 9

Part B for December 1930

SUBJECT

Grant of a portion of the Malleswaram Park Grounds to the Vaisya residents of the Malleswaram Extension for putting up Sri Ganardanaswami Temple.

---

89) The ML Comr thro' the President, B'lore City Mcl
" Muzrai Comr in Mysore
" Comptroller to Govt
" D C Bangalore Dt

L 5165-8 Dy 17 12-30

Sanctions the —

Govt 20/1/31

498 E

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 43 of 1929

Serial No

65 Petition without date addressed to the Dewan of Mysore by the residents of East and West Park roads and the 7th Cross Road Maheswaram, protesting against the grant of the Park Grounds in front of their houses to the Vaidyas for the construction of Sri Kanniketa parameshvari Temple

Submitted for perusal No reference on this proposal has been received from the Municipal Council, Bangalore. ~~The petition appears to be the outcome of a presumption now entertained by the residents of the locality based perhaps on a misunderstanding~~ Draft forwarding the petition to the Muni Commr for report is submitted for consideration

19/4

2) 22/4

3) 29/4/30

66 To The Mul Commr and the President Bangalore City Municipal Council Our No. L 7932 & 5-5-30 The petn. forwarded.

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 866—GPB—50,000—26 7.29

Received from Land Section GENERAL SECRETARY. 29 APR 1930 No. 41 Sec.

D4983 G.C.

D 5690

12

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

ml File No 43

of 192 9

Serial No.

Petition from the residents of Malleswaram residing between the 7th & 11th cross roads, protesting against the grant of the Park grounds in front of their houses to the Vaishyas for the Construction of Sri Kamika Parameswari Temple.

---

4) Another petition addressed to the Dewan has been sent to the President, Bangalore City Mil. Cl. for any report. Pending receipt of that report, the present petition will be placed in the file. Subs for orders.

Gos 26/5/30.

5) yrs AS 27/5/30

GEN & REV. SECT. 4 JUNE 1930 L. S. G. BRANCH

Srs A

78 From the Mil. Commr, Bangalore City Municipality.

No: G. 20 291 dated 17th/26th June 1930.

Submits for orders of Government the resolution of the Mil: Cl: of the 17th May 1930 to the effect that a portion of the Malleswaram Park Grounds measuring 100' x 159½' leaving 10 feet on the East & Western sides for Widening the road as shown in the enclosed sketch may

te—Contn Sheet

W D 01684—GPB—30,000—29 4 29

Serial No

be granted to the Vaisya residents of Malleswaram for putting up Sri Janardanaswamy Temple.

6) In the paper under disposal it is stated that 5 objection petitions have been received against the grant of this land for the Vaisya temple and that the site selected is a portion of Malleswaram park grounds & there is a Combined Badminton & Tennis Court in it and also an Anjaneya Temple on the Northern side of the 8th Cross Road as marked in the Sketch. Submitted for orders

27 6 30. 7) In view of the objections to the grant of the land a personal inspection may perhaps be expedient.

27/6/30 8) Gen Secy

9) Hon'ble Member

30/6/30.

29/27

0) Yes. I shall be glad to see the place. ask Mr Commr to meet us there early date

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22 4 30

4/7/30.

D 6437

(4

App F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mil File No 43 of 1929.

Serial No

385/117

11- Draft submitted
7.7.30
1- Issue
7.7.30

84 To The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality No: L 235 dated 9.7.30.

States that the Second Member of Council would be glad to inspect the Malleswaram park grounds and requests him to meet the members in this connection at an early date

Srs A
AL

15 I have seen the locality & consider that a site may be given at the back of the Venugopala Swami, an east west connecting road being made just at the back of the temple.

The two temples will not face each other as the Venugopalaswami temple is I think facing the north & the new temple will be that south of the deity will perhaps face east.

15 The Muzrai Dept may be consulted as to whether there is any objection to this & the Muzrai Com may be invited

Muzrai Dept (Muzrai) 15/7/30
Sec to Govt
11) Draft 17.7.30



A of road to be continued

4.55 PM

Note—Contn Sheet

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22 4 30

L 287 (5

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 43 of 1929

Serial No	
	18 As it may be difficult to the Mudrai Againts to offer an opinion in the absence of a sketch indicating the position of the temples with their facings, as ordered by the Second Member at paras 13 and 14, it may be kindly put up if available in the Branch.
	19) The Superintendent, L.S. & Branch With Compliments MSK 9/7/30
85	From The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipality No: S. 20128 C/16 dt 19 30. States that the II Member of Council inspected the locality on 8.7.30 & opines that the vacant site marked J to the south of Sri Veerapakshiswamy temple may be given to the Vakkiya Community for Constructing Sri Janardanaswamy Temple etc
	19) To the Supdt. Mudrai Branch with compliments. Refer para 18 supra Sd 19/7/30

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 066—GPB—80,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

20) Subd with a draft. for land Confidential
GS(NS) 19/7 H. Secy) 19.7.30

1151/1917

CFF FIRST M SIL 21 JULY 1930 No 513

1/84 - Member) 19/7/30

21 Mudy 23/7/30

22 Issue 22/7/30

Issued no. 199 dated 23-7-30 to the Mung Commr. forwards a copy of letter from the Ml. Commr Bilaspur City in the matter of the transfer of Site to Vaisnavs for Construction of a temple and enquires whether Govt has any Statutory objections etc.

GENL & REV SECTT 26 JUL 1930 MUZRAI.

Letter No 1873 dated 22-8-1930 from the Muzrai Commissioner 29-30 furnishing his opinion on the question from the Sanitary & Town point of view

23 Secy Home Dept for perusal with reference to paras 15 and 16. May be transferred to the L.S.G. Secretariat.

Mudy 25/8/30 H. Secy)

26.8.30

P.T.O.

21476/28/8

W.D. 11684—G.P.B.—30,000—29.1.29

Serial No

25) I think there is considerable force in the views expressed by the Kuzrai Commissioner. As surmised by him, the real object in obtaining the grant of a site by the Vyasya community is to erect a temple of "Sri Kannikaparameshswari". This is evident from the resolution passed by the Arya Vyasya Sangha and the application presented by the Honourary Secretary of the Sangha to the President of the Municipal Council on 20th November 1929. This application was rejected by the Municipality on the ground that the ~~said~~ land ~~asked~~ "cannot be granted since it is reserved as a park".

Flag 'Q'

26) In the further representation made by the Honourary Secretary to the Municipality overdate 10-2-1930 for a re-consideration of the matter, there is no mention made that the Vyasyas intend to construct a temple not to instal Kannika Parameshwara but the idol of Janardanaswami. A careful reference to this latter temple is known to be found in a later petition of 24th February 1930.

'R'

D

27) I have considered it necessary to refer to these details just to show that the real intention of the Vyasya community is to erect ultimately Kannika Parameshwari temple and not merely the Vishnu god

28) I am not sure if the Vyasya community itself is satisfied with the site that has been selected just behind the Venugopalaswami Temple I request reference to the portion marked 'A' in the Honourary Secretary's petition of 14th May 1930 wherein mention has been made to the possible

Q

Serial No

possible difficulties that might arise later on between the Brahmin and the Vyasya communities by the location of the 2 two temples within such close proximity

29) In the circumstances of the case, it is a point for consideration whether a suitable site elsewhere may not be selected for the construction of the Vyasya temple, as opined by the M-C

4 9 30

30) First Member)

31) I fear this is a case of caste jealousy. As Anjaneya temple as Samakata temple have been erected South of the Venugopalaswami temple I see no reason has been raised of the conflict of Agamas, difficulties of processions & the like. But all these spring up when people of another caste want to erect a temple to a god a goddess whom they are devoted.

32) The talk about living spaces seems to be me all bunkum. The extensions are localities of detached cottages each with its own compound one not even like the back yard of a house where living spaces are really called for.

33) I am not sure whether apart from the site first recommended by the Municipal Council & the site selected by me there are other very convenient sites suitable for building a temple in this Extension. The matter about such a site is connected

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 4 SEPT 1930 No. 2828

2828/69

How many are required in Bangalore BDVS 15/7/1930

with the chief Brahmin or Vysia residents of the locality

2 s q branch CSV 5/9/30

Note—Contn Sheet 6/9/30 VS 8 9 30

WD 3120—GPB—500—2 5 30

L 287

Serial No.	
	35) Draft sub'd 8.9.30 36) Please type draft 9.9.30
	37) Typed draft sub'd 9.9.30 38) Gen Secy 11.9.30 39) 12.9.30
86	To The Municipal Commissioner thro' the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council. No: Y 2506. dt 19.9.30. Requests to be intimated if there are any other convenient sites for building Vaisya temple in the Malleswaram Extension
88	Lr from do. No. 5 to 126 dt 10.11.30 States that the principal Brahmins & Vaisya residents of the locality were consulted & they are of opinion that there is no other site in the locality convenient for building a temple

Subs A 4/ RECTT. 1930

WD 01684—GPB—30 000—20 4 29

Serial No

and that the Vaisya residents prefer the site first recommended by the Municipal Council

---

40) In the paper under disposal, the Municipal Commissioner intimates that the principal Brahmins & Vaisya residents of the locality were consulted & they are of opinion that there is no other site in the locality convenient for building a temple and the Vaisya residents prefer the site first recommended by the Municipal Council.

41) Submitted with reference to first Members Orders in paragraphs 31 to 33 of the notes supra.

14.11.1930 42) Gen. Secy) VS 17.11.30

43) 1st Member) VS 20.11.30

4074/18/81

44) Then sanction the site first recommended.

CSS 22/4 VS 24.11.30

45) Draft G.O. subd 25-11-30. Law Secy) VS 26.11.30

2nd appt (1st Member C.S. 1 11 VS

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 20 NOV 1930 No 5752

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 6 DEC 1930 No 5752

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

WD 08051—GPB—50 000—8 30

2446
L

File No

of 19

Submitted to Dewan

VS
12.12.30

DEWAN'S OFFICE
2 DEC 1930

Amulk 12/12/30

Mr. Capl

Issue
VS
15.12.30

89 To The Municipal Commissioner
thro' the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council
" Nagarai Commissioner
" Comptroller to Govt.
" Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dist.

No. L5165-8 Dy 17.12.30

Sanctions the resolution of the Bangalore City Municipal Council Dy 17-5-30 ~~approving the grant of a portion~~ approving the grant of a portion of the Malleswaram Park Ground measuring 100' x 157½' having ropé on the east & western sides (for widening the road) to the Vaisya residents of the Malleswaram Extension for putting up Sri Janardana Swami Temple.

B

ML 43-29-65

GEN 4983

Sir Amin-Ul-Mulk

Mirza M. Ismail (K.T.,) C.I.E., O.B.E., L[illegible]

The Dewan of Mysore, Bangalore.

12/4/30

Respected Sir,

We the residents and house owners of East and West Park Roads and the 7th Cross Road -- Malleshvaram emphatically protest against the grant of the Park Grounds in front of our houses to the Vaishyas for the construction of Sri Kannika Parameshvari Temple.

The Park Ground is our lung space and the Benign Government laid it out with that intention. As a matter of fact the Municipal Council has provided the Park with benches for people to lounge.

Narrow roads were also formed in view of the open space which was primarily intended to be kept as such in the interests of free air and ventillation to people in the neighbourhood.

There is not a single Vaishya living or owning houses in the locality.

Incidental to the festivities connected with a Temple, specially feeding etc., would render the locality very insanitary and invade the peace and privacy of the families inhabiting the above locality and will introduce all sorts of diseases.

A blunder was committed by converting a portion of this Park lying between East and West Park Roads and 7th Cross and 13th Cross Roads into a Temple Block and allowing constructions of temples -- thereon and it is not to the interests of the surrounding residents to perpetuate the blunder in the construction of a temple in other portions of the Park, thus

blocking

blocking them up. For example, the high walls of the Compound of Sri Gopalaswami Temple has rendered the lot of the residents in the Park Roads on either side very miserable and the proposed construction of a Temple etc., will subject the residents of the locality in question in the same plight.

Formerly, there were proposals to allow the construction of certain buildings Viz., a Reading Room and Sree Rama Mandiram, on the plot and on account of the objections of the residents in the locality, they were given up.

Besides, a Mahazar of the residents of the locality particularly those, who own houses, there, should have been got up as to their views in the matter, which has not been done and if this is done, the Government will be in a position to realise the feelings of the inhabitants in the matter.

In view of the above, we beg to request that the contemplation of the Vaishyas for the construction of the Temple, on the grounds under reference may kindly be ordered to be dropped, some other site being selected.

That a lot of open space is available for the construction of a Temple, near the Malleshvaram Temple and it is submitted that, that place being near to bathing ghat and another Tempple, being wholly secluded and in as much as the place being the grounds where the Vaishyas have been celebrating their annual Vana Bhojana Ceremony for the past twenty years, is more suitable for the temple, both in point of convenience and in view of greater area of land available.

That for some years after the open-

opening of this extension, it was the hope of the rate payers of this Extension that the name " P A R K " given to this open area would in the end fulfil its object in it being improved and converted to a sort of garden. It is submitted that, that hope instead of being realised, the very open space is attempted to be built upon. Seeing that like other Extensions the inhabitants of this Extension have no Lalbagh or beautiful ornamental lights in their extension, it is only fair that the Dewan Saheb whose interest in increasing the beauty of the town is as -- sincere as it is liberal, will not allow the extinction of the Park, but on the other hand will see to it, that the Park is planted with trees and converted into a garden so that the weary inhabitants after a hard day's toil and the old and the young may go there to sit in it and enjoy the rest. If the Park is built upon, there is no place for the children to play about.

May we therefore request that the Dewan Saheb may be pleased to inspect the spot and hear our grievances and in recognition thereof reject the -- prayer of Vaishyas to build a temple in the Park and -- order on the lines above submitted.

We beg to remain, Respected Sir,
Yours Obediently,
THE RESIDENTS OF EAST AND WEST PARK ROADS,
& 7th Cross Road, MALLESHWARAM EXTENSION.

Copy of the above is respectfully submitted to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore for information and favour of taking necessary action in the matter.

Copy submitted to the President, Bangalore City Municipality for information and favour of taking suitable action in the matter.

SIGNATURES D Vasudeva Rao - BA BL Advocate

D Ramarao [redacted]

[redacted]

Mohanlal Sringar

[redacted]

N. Kuda Sarathy Gr.

B S Gunda Appa

T. Hariraw

K.C.R Rao

C. Narasinga Raj.

Krishna Rao B.Sc & C

K Subramanyam

Supervisor, Govt. Stationery office

M Sundara Rao B.A.B.L. Pleader

M Chinna Swamy B.A., B.L. Advocate

B.S. Gopal

M.S. Jagadeesan

[illegible]

[illegible]

Muraram Raj

Forwarded to the Municipal Commissioner with Compliments

Report received

Hon President.

This may be filed with the connected file

P. Subbu

6/5/20

App F. G. 9]

16

GOVT. OF H H THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE

GEN & REV SECRETARIAT

Mel
43-29

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Jen 66

No 7932 Enc: 1
Dy 5/5/30

The accompanying Petition Registered
No 4983 dated 14-4-30
addressed to the Dewan
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from the residents of
East & West park roads
& the 7th Cross Road,
Malleswaram, protesting
against the grant of the
park grounds in front
of their houses to the
Vaishyas for the Construc-
tion of Sri Kamika
Parameshwari Temple

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~ with Compliments
to the Mel: Commr, Mid: the
President, Bangalore City Mel
for favour
of an early report
in the matter.

Dfd forwarding
the petition to the
Mel: Commr for
report is sent for
Consideration.

By Order,

Asst Secretary to Govt

BANGALORE,
16-4-1930

~~P. T. O~~

(Dft)

WD 2369 -GPB—3,000—14 6 27

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ..

ಇಂಡಾಲ್ಸ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ

ಮರುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು

ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

"True Copy:"

CHIEF SECRETARY
17 MAY 1930

5690

To

The President,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

Sir,

We, the residents of Malleshvaram residing between the 7th and 11th Cross Roads beg to bring to your notice the following facts in connection with the proposal of granting a piece of land in the Park between the above said roads for the purpose of building a new temple for Vyasa.

2. There are already 3 temples in the limited place Viz., (1) Sree Venugopalaswami (2) Sree Anjaneyaswami Temple (3) Sree Siddha Vinayaka or Ganapathi for which recently a site was granted on the Rock with consequent residentials & out houses.

3. This was originally intended to be kept as lungs space for the benefit of East and West Park Roads as we already feel the effects of congestion.

4. The interspaces are, at present, being used as play-ground for Middle and Elementary School Children and for occasional Open Air Meetings places and other public functions

5. The houses on the East Park Road are on the low level as compared with the park and any buildings on the latter will be sure to interfere with light and ventilation in respect of these houses.

6. Lastly on the Shastric Grounds, the Managing Committees of the existing temples are sure to protest against the erection of proposed temple in as much as the periodical

processions

processions and Uthsavas of the existing temple deities which are being conducted peacefully during the past 27 years are likely to be adversely affected thereby.

In conclusion, we therefore pray that no more buildings be permitted in the said area.

Malleswaram, Dated — 14-5-1930

We beg to remain, Sir,

Your most obedient Rate payers,

Copy of the above is respectfully submitted, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore with a request that the Government will be pleased to veto any such proposals from the City Municipality.

Copy.

Door No 12 East Park Rd — M Raghavendra Rao

Door No 38 do — D Narasa Rao

Mr Narasimhayya

Dr No 20 do — M D Narasimhachar

Dr No 21 do — M Rangasimhachar

Dr No 15 do — M R Ramanujaiyengar

C. A. Narasimhaiyengar, Muddumanavadhi, 23 West Park Rd

Door No. 17 — Lakshmanasamudram (R. Kallu G.P.)

Dr No 13 Mathamanna —

A.R Krishnan, No.10. West Park Road

Door. No. 13. A. Raja Rama Anigondi

R. Narasimhaiyengar, Door No 9 West Park Road.

Door No 6 West Park Road — M Srinivasa Rao

Door No 10 & 11 East Park — M R Annajirao

A Narasimhamurthi, 15 West Park Road

D Ramaswamy, 14 East Park Road

Door No 18 — M J. Narayana Iyengar

Mahadeva Rao

Ramaswami Iyengar B.Sc Lecturer

A. Krishnaiyengar cashier

Mrs. Narayana Iyer, 15 East Park Road

R Vasudeva Rao. 225, West Park Road



23 MAY 1930

L. G BRANCH

Before the Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore.

Bangalore.

Petitioners:-

Residents of East & West Park Road

Malleswaram, Bangalore City.

The petitioners beg to state as follows:-

1. The undersigned petitioners are all residents of East and West Park Road, Malleswaram and ratepayers to the Bangalore Municipality.

2. When the Malleswaram Extension was laid out, the Government and the Municipality specially reserved a stretch of ground for a Park and subsequently called it "The East Park" In course of time 2 roads were laid on either sidesof the Park and residential quarters were built facing the aforesaid Park.

3. The Municipality of Bangalore on several occasions passed resolutions to convert the East Park into a pucca Park and erect a Band Stand thereon.
Sometime ago the Dewan Saheb paid a visit to Malleswaram and after inspecting the Park approved of the aforesaid idea and thereafter the Municipality provided some seats in the Park for the use of the Malleswaram people.

4. On several occasions people wanted the Park site to be utilised for building purposes and the Municipality consistently turned down such proposals.

5. Now a petition has been made to the Municipality by the Vaisya community of the place (about 25 houses in all) praying for the grant of the aforesaid East Park for building a KANIKAPARAMESWARI TEMPLE thereon.

6. The petitioners learn that the Municipality, passed a resolution in its Meeting of 17-5-1930 granting said East Park to the Vaisya community of Malleswaram for the aforesaid purpose.

7. All the residents of the locality including the petitioners protested against the public Park being given away for building a Temple and several protests have been sent to the Municipality and the Government of Mysore asking them to preserve the Park and grant some other site for the building of the Temple. These protests have been altogether disregarded.

8. Malleswaram extension has become very much congested and except the "East Park" there is absolutely no other lung space available. Unlike other extensions Malleswaram is far away from the premier Parks of Bangalore and it badly requires a Park and playgrou for children. To use an existing public park for ~~other~~ other purposes than what it was meant for (aspecially to build a Temple) is any thing but improvedtown planning.
In the name of town improvement the Municipality is unwisely converting a Park into a Temple site.

9. The petitioners beg to urge that the sanitation of the place will be gravely imperilled by building a Temple in the midst of congested residential quarters.

10. Not a single Vaisya resides anywhere surrounding or near the "East Park" where the temple is sought to be built.
A Dattatreya Temple has been mainly built by this community very recently close by to the road leading to Guttahally.

11. There are many more vacant grounds undisposed of that may be used for building a temple. The petitioners have absolutely no objection for the Municipality granting any other site for the aforesaid purpose.

12. The building of a temple amidst residential quarters not only makes the place insanitary but it causes the - - residents of the place great inconvenience, needless worry and disturbance.

as the a fact

21

13. The Bangalore Municipality after passing resolutions several times that the above said ~~site~~ place should be converted into a park and several times refusing to put the park for any other use than for what it was originally meant for, erred in now granting it for building a temple. In so doing the action of the Municipality is gravely irregular without jurisdiction and ultravires.

14. The petitioners and other residents of Malleswaram were under the fond hope when seats were provided in the Park that they would after all have a full-blown park and a Band stand. They enter their emphatic protest against the shortsighted policy of the Municipality in going back on their original resolutions.

15. The ambition of the residents of Malleswaram is to convert the "East Park" into a Pucca Park and put up a Band-stand so* that it may be used as a place of recreation by the residents of Malleswaram. It is surprising that the Municipality should grudge the residents and ratepayers of Malleswaram even this small civic aminity and deprive them of the previlege of possessing and enjoying the benefits of a public park.

Wherefore the petitioners pray that the Government may be pleased to interfere in the matter and setaside the resolution of the Municipality passed on 17/5/1930 granting the "East Park" to the Vaisya community of Malleswaram to build a Temple thereon and order that the above "East Park" should be preserved as a Park for the benefit of the people of Malleswaram.

Bangalore City,
Dated 22nd May 1930.

1) C R Narayanaswamy
2) C N Subramanyam
3) C Ramaswami Vadhyar
4) T. Doddaraj G. R. S. 22/5
5) R P Pandit
15) D. Vasudeva Rao B.A.B.L. Advocate

1 M Sundar Raj B.A.B.L. Advocate
2 M Channa Swamy B.A., B.L. Advocate
3 D Ramaiah Supervisor Govt. Sty
4 T. Hurirao
5 K C Narasimhalu
6 T. Krishnaswamy Rao
7 S Narayana Rao
8 B S Gopal
9 Mohammad Rit. S.I.C.
10 C Narasinga Rao Advocate

HW.

20

No G To 291
Encls:- a sketch
acpg yCouncil Proceedings
five objn petitions

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,

Bangalore City, Dated 17th June 1930..
24th

FROM

C MACHIA, ESQ, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY.

CON 6437
27 JUN
L S G BRANCH

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

nil 43-29
Rev 78

23 VbP

MTU 45

S i r,

I have the honor to state that the Vaisya residents of Malleswaram applied to the Municipality on 25th February 1930 for the grant of a portion of the site reserved for a Park between the 7th and 8th Cross Roads, Malleswaram, for ~~ex~~ putting up Sree Janardanaswami temple.

The petition was referred to the City Improvement Committee who inspected the spot on 26th March 1930 and resolved that the northern half of the Park ground between the VIIth and VIII Cross Roads, measuring 100' x 159½' be given free of cost subject to the usual conditions prescribed for the grant of such lands. The resolution of the City Improvement Committee was placed before the Municipal Council for their decision who after inspecting the spot on 8th May 1930 resolved at their meeting held on 17th May 1930 that a portion of the Park Ground measuring 100' x 159½' leaving 10 feet on the east and western sides for widening the road as shown in the enclosed sketch may be granted.

The following 5 objection petitions received from

(1) the residents of Malleswaram addressed to the Dewan and received from the General Secretary on 5th ~~April~~ May 30

(2) the Youngmen's Mandyam Association Sports' Club addressed to the President on 15th May 1930,

(3) ~~addrs~~ the President, Temple Committee, Malleswaram, with a copy of resolution dated 17th May 1930 of the Committee, addressed to the President,

(4)

(4) the residents of Malleswaram to the President on 17th May 1930,

(5) the residents of Malleswaram addressed to the Government and received from the General Secretary to - Government on 10th June 1930,

against the grant of this land are submitted to Government.

The site selected is a portion of Malleswaram Park Grounds and there is a combined Badminton and Tennis Court in it and there is an Anjaneya Temple on the northern side of th 8th Cross Road as marked in the sketch.

Copy of the Council resolution dated 17th May 1930 is submitted to Government for orders.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President.

3M

Proceedings of the Council meeting held on 17th May 1930.

2. Consideration of the recommendation of the Municipal City Improvement Committee dated 26th March 1930 for the free grant of northern half of the Park grounds in Malleswaram between VII and VIII Cross roads, measuring 100 feet by 159½ feet to Arya Vaisya Sangha for the construction of Sri Janardhanaswamy temple, subject to the usual conditions, with the protest application received from the residents of the locality. The Council have inspected the spot on 8th May 1930.

Resolution. :--- Mr. N. Balakrishniah proposed that the recommendation of the City Improvement Committee be approved and Capt. Y.V. Iyya seconded.

Mr. C.N. Narasinga Rao moved that the subject be deferred and in the meantime the plans of the two sites referred to in the petition be circulated. Mr. Narasinga Rao's proposition was put to vote and lost 4 voting 'For' and 10 'Against'

Mr. B.L. Byanna moved an amendment that the recommendation of the City Improvement Committee be approved - leaving 10 feet on the eastern and western side for widening the roads. This was accepted by the mover of the original proposition. Mr. N. Balakrishniah.

This was put to vote and passed unanimously.

Sd. P. Subbarama Chetty,
Hon. President.

"C o p y"

For Municipal Commissioner.

SM



— N B —

Denotes the land recomended to be given to Sri Janardana Swamy Temple By The Council Dated 17 5/30

Denotes Combined Badminton and Tennis Courts

Municipal Engineer in Charge

Sd. B Thirumalachar
Municipal Engineer

Traced by
R Chalapathi
2/6/30

Denotes the land recommended to be given to Sri Jonnadula Swamy Temple By The Council Dated 17/3/

Denotes Combined Badminton and Tennis Courts

Traced by

Municipal Engineer

Municipal Engineer In Charge

24

YOUNG MEN'S MANDAYAM ASSOCIATION.

(SPORTS BRANCH)

Malleswaram.
15th.May 1930.

To

The Municipal President,
City Municipal Council,
B A N G A L O R E.

Dear Sir,

We the undersigned, members of the Sports club of the above Association, beg to bring the following few lines to your kind consideration.

The Association, as is very well known, has been in existance for the last FIFTEEN years and the fields lying behind the Sri Venugopalaswami Temple between the East and West Park Roads is being made use of, ever since, as our Sports fields (for Volley ball and Badminten etc.), with the kind permission of the late Mr.S.Venktaranga Iyengar, Municipal Councillor, Malleswaram Division, who had also allowed us to fix up the necessary pillars and the poles and also to undertake the repairs of the said grounds, over which we have been spending money from the Association funds. We have been making use of the fields for a long time with his kind assurance that, we will be allowed to utilise the

said

25

said fields undisturbed.

We now hear that a new temple is going to be erected in the said premises, thus depriving us of our Sports fields, which we have been maintaining in good condition and utilising for such a long time. We will be heavily handicapped and greatly inconvenienced if this is permitted, specially because, we cannot get any other play grounds in Valleswaram.

We therefore request that the erection of the said temple may be disallowed and we be permitted to continue to make use of the said fields for our Sports purposes, as hitherto, for which kind act of encouragement, the Association will feel highly grateful.

Trusting that this application will meet with your favourable consideration.

We beg to subscribe, ourselves,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants.

1)
2) M J. Narayana Iyengar
3) H N Narasimhadyengar
4) M C Srinivasiengar
5) M S Ramamurthi
6) M B Srinivasangengar
7) M Kanthimathi
8) M Parthasarathy
9) M R Sreenivasa Rao
10) M Krishna Iyengar
11) M A Srinivasan
12) M K Narayanan
13) M. V. Ramaswamy

M S Chakravarthy
N Doraiswamy Iyengar
M A Jairaman
M. B. Doraisamy
M S Parthasarathy
M. C Parthasarathy Iyengar
M T R Swamy
M. Singra Iyengar
N Singra Char
M. Srinivasan.

P T O.

26) R Thirumaraj

27) A Thirumalacharya

28) M R Singaracharyar

29) M G Patel

30) [illegible]

Any Communication may kindly be addressed to

(1) H T Ramaswamy Iyengar B.Sc.
Secretary, Young Men's Mangalyam Association
17, East Park Road, Madhavaram

or (1) H J Narayana Iyengar M.Sc.
Secretary, Sports Club, YMMA
18, East Park Road
Madhavaram

To Council
Under be attached
for the connected file

17/8/80

Sri Venn Gopala Krishnaswami Temple,
Malleswaram.
15th May 1930.

27

To,

The President,
City Municipality, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of the Proceedings of the Temple Committee dated 14-5-1930, for your kind information and needful action.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narasimhacharlu

President, Temple Committee.

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Committee Dharmadarsees of the Sri VenuGopala Krishnaswami Temple Malleswaram, held on Wednesday the 14th May 1930.

—— o ——

Read the notice convening the Meeting.

Resolved as follows:-

(1) The Committee is given to understand that there is a proposal to allot a portion of the Park to the South of the Temple for the purpose of erecting a Kanyaka Parameswari Temple and desires to record its protest against the said proposal on the following grounds:-

(a) It is very desirable that the Park which affords lung space for the Extension must not be allowed to be further blocked by permitting new buildings to be constructed therein to the prejudice of the health and comforts of the residents in the neighbourhood.

On a previous occasion when applications were received for grant of sites in the Park for a Rama Bhajana Mandiram and a Theosophical Lodge, there was a strong opposition from the residents on both sides of the Park and the Municipality refused the applications.

(b) The Venu Gopala Krishnaswami Temple which is a Muzrai Institution was consecrated in the year 1902 - the year of the Installation of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, according ~~th~~ to Pancharatra Agama; and the daily and periodical services at the Temple are being conducted ever since in conformity with the said Agama.

It is an Agamic injunction that Deities installed according to different Agamas should not come in view of each other.

During all these 28 years, it has been the Manul to take the Deities of this Temple in procession periodically along the East and West Park Roads bounded by the 7th & 11th Cross Roads.

The erection of a New Temple of Sri Kanyaka Parameswari is likely to interfere with the conduct of these periodical processions.

(2) Under these circumstances the Committee would suggest the allotment of a site outside the Park area aforesaid for the proposed Kanyaka Parameswari Temple, to avoid future complications.

Sd/ R.Narasimhachar,
President of the Committee of Dharmadarsis of
the Sri Venu Gopala Krishnaswami Temple, Malleswaram.

(True copy)

Urgent



To

The President,

Municipal Council,

Bangalore City.

Sir,

We, the residents of Malleshvaram residing between the 7th and 11th Cross Roads beg to bring to your notice the following facts in connection with the proposal of granting a piece of land in the Park between the above said roads for the purpose of building a new temple for Vysyas.

2. There are already 3 temples in the limited place Viz (1) Sree Venugopalaswami (2) Sree Anjaneyaswami Temple (3) Sree Sidaha Vinayaka or Ganapathi for which recently a site was granted on the Rock with concommitant residential out-houses.

3. This was originally intended to be kept as Lunge space for the benefit of East and West Park Roads and we already feel the effects of congestion.

4. The interspaces at present being used as play-ground for Middle and Elementary School Children and for occasional Open Air Meetings places and other public functions.

5. The houses on the East Park Road are on the low level as compared with the park and any buildings on the latter will be sure to interfere with light and ventilation in respect of these houses.

6. Lastly on the Shastric Grounds, the Managing Committees of the existing temples are sure to protest against the erection of proposed temple in as much as the periodical processions

processions and Uthsavas of the existing temple deities which are being conducted peacefully during the past 27 years are likely to be adversely affected thereby.

In conclusion we therefore pray that no more buildings be permitted in the said area.

Malleswaram,
Date 14-5-1930

We beg to remain, Sir,

Your most obedient Rate Payers,

23 A.R. Krishnan
No 10. West Park Road.

West Park Road
Door No 3 & 4 D. Ramarao

24 R. Narasimhachar Door No 20 4 do
Dr No 9 West Park Road. RB Door No 16 5.
25 A. Narasimhamurthi
15, West Park Road

26 M. Ramasastry
4 East Park Road

Door No 7/17
Dr No 8/13
Door No 9/13
Door No 6 14 West Park Road

Door No 10 & 11 East Park

Submitted
the Mpl. Council
inspected the
spot in connection
with
S. O. No-18
23.5.30

Submitted
Mun'l. Council
with its Presidential
Vice Chairman
Recommendation

Sri. Kalkere Gopalaiyengar

M. Shamanna

A Raja Ram. Anigondahalli

M. Narasimha Rao

Door No 10 & 11 East Park H. Venkatarama Rao

12 M. Narayanaiyengar B.A., B.L.

13 [illegible]

14 M. T. Narayana Iyengar R.E.

15 M. Mandalavara Rao

16 Ramaswami Iyengar B.Sc. Lecturer College of Engg.

17 A. Kodandaramachar cashier Mall Co-op Society

18 M. Narayana Iyengar 15 East Park Road.

Recommended
23/5/30

R. Narasimha Iyengar.

20 R. Vasudeva Rao, 22-8, west park Road.
21 Krishnaswami 22-9 west Park Road
22 Srikanta Rao 32. do

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 43-29

Serial No 84

No L 235

Diary No of letter replied to 6437

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

the *193*

Date of issue 9 7 30

Signed by Mr V S Sastry

To

The ml Crime Thro the President, Bangalore City-ml:cl

Sir, With reference to Correspondence ending with (from) letter No. G. 20.291 dated the 17th/24th June 1930 submitting for orders of Govt the resolution of the ml cl: dt the 17th May 1930 to the effect that a portion of the Malleswaram Park Grounds measuring 100' x 159½ leaving 10 feet On the East & Western

over

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02327—GPB—5,000—15 4 30

sides for widening road
as shown in the sketch
may be granted to the Vaisya
residents of Mallesvaram
for putting up Sri Janar-
dhanaswamy Temple. I am
directed to state that
the Second Member of
Council would be glad
to inspect the place
and to request you
to be so good as to
meet the ~~xxx~~ member
in this connection
at an early date.
~~xxx granted rates are herewith returned.~~
I have etc

for General Secy & Govt

(Offg)
Asst Secy
5/7/30

HL

HL 43-29-85

No G To 12.
Encls Entire file and a Sketch

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City, Dated 11th Jul 1930

341

Prakatana Sarsa Vichakshana
Karnataka Sachya Vidya Vaibhava
RAO BAHADUR
R NARASIMHACHARYA, M A, M R A S

MALLESWARAM
BANGALORE
10th July 1930

Dear Mr Balasundaram Iyer,

I understand that you visited Malleswaram recently in order to inspect the Park area and fix up a site for the Sri Kamuka Parameswari Temple.

The Committee of Dharmadarsis of the Sri Venu Gopala Krishnaswami Temple made a representation in writing to the Municipal

SM

Honorary President.

5/7/30

LSR

1782 43-29-85

No G To 12.
Encls Entire file and a Sketch

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,
Bangalore City, Dated 11th Jul 1930

FROM

C MACHIA, ESQ, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY

L 287

GEN SECTT
15 JUL 30

To

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reference to your letter No. L. 235/Ml. 43-29-84 dated 9th July 1930 and in continuation of this Office letter No. G. To. 291 dated 24th June 1930, I have the honor to state that the Second Councillor was pleased to inspect the locality on 8th July 1930 and opined that the vacant plot marked 'J' to the south of Sri Venugopalakrishnaswamy temple may be given to the Vaisya Community for constructing Sri Janardanaswamy Temple and the 10th Cross Road may be continued so as to run by the south of Sri Venugopalakrishnaswamy temple from east to west and that by this arrangement the -- temples of Sri Venugopalakrishnaswamy and Sri Janardanaswamy would be divided off by a road and all the temples would be in one block.

The sketch and the records relating to this are enclosed.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Machia
Municipal Commissioner.

forwarded with compliments,

P Lakshmana Rao
Honorary President.

SM

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT

36

Diary No of letter replied to

Section Mis

File No

Serial No

No 199/Secy

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The Mun. Comm:
in Mysore

Date of issue 23-7-30

Signed by Mr V Q Sastry

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith ~~a Sketch of~~ a Site ~~proposed to be given~~ to the Vaisya Community for the Construction of the Janardan ~~by the Sandhyathane~~ Swamy temple, and to request you to be so good as to ~~State~~ ascertain and report Whether there is any Shastraic objection to the Site being proposed. given for the purpose. It will be seen that the two temples the proposes are and the existing

over

(marked J)

To be kindly returned with the reply.

Copy of letter No 9 To 12 of 16th July 1930 from the Mun. Comm. Mysore in the matter of grant of

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

temple of Sri Venugopala Swamy ——
do not face each other as
the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple
faces the north and the new temple
will be to the South and the deity
will perhaps face east.

I have etc

19.7.30

19/7/30
Financial Secy. to Govt
19/7

23rd July

199--

Marked for in the Sketch sent herewith to be kindly returned with the reply

Rajakaryapravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

I am directed to forward herewith copy of letter No. G. To 12 dated 16th July 1930 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City in the matter of the grant of a site to the Vaisya community for the construction of the Janardhanaswami temple ~~at Kallugudda~~ and to request you to be so good as to ascertain and report whether there is any Shastraic objection to the proposal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

M C 13]

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 20th August 1930.

No 1673 & 3 / 1930

FROM

Rajakaryapravina

P G D'SOUZA, ESQ, BA, BL,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

To

Rajasevaparayana
B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.199 Muz.dated 23rd July -- 1930, enquiring whether there is any shastric objection to the -- grant of a site to the Vaisya community south of Sri Venugopala --- Krishnaswamy temple at Malleswaram for the construction of Sri -- Janardhanaswamy temple, I have the honor to enclose herewith the opinion of the Agamik of my office on the question, and to state as follows -

Prior to the receipt of the communication under reply the President of the Gopalakrishnaswami temple Committee sent a copy of the resolution of the committee (copy enclosed) recording the protest of the committee against the attempts that were made to allot a portion of the park to the south of the temple for the -- purpose of erecting a Kannika Parameswari temple. In the present reference of the Municipal Commissioner it is stated that the --- Vaisyas want to construct a Janardanaswami temple in the plot ---- objected to by the Gopalakrishnaswami temple Committee. It is not likely or probable that the Vaisyas would rest content with -- installing a Vishnu God according to Pancharatra Agama without - installing the important Deity of Kannika Parameswari to which -- their people offer their first horage and this installation cannot be done under the Pancharatra Agama which is followed in the ----

P.T.O.

theneighbouring temple. The location of two temples of different agamas in close proximity is forbidden by the shastras as testified by the Agamiks and is likely to lead to unnecessary friction between the Vaisyas and the devotees of the Gopalakrishnaswami temple. Besides, if this temple of the Vaisyas is to be located in the plot proposed by the President, it will restrict the scope of the procession of the deity of the Gopalakrishnaswami temple as it has to be confined to the space round the temple, whereas for 30 years past ever since the extension was formed and the temple was consecrated in memory of the installation of His Highness the Maharaja the devotees have been enjoying the privilege of taking the deity in procession round the park including the temple. Besides the houses on both sides of the park are all inhabited by Brahmins only and there are no Vaisya houses in the locality. It is not therefore desirable in the interests of public harmony also to allow the construction of a Vaisya temple in the midst of the Brahmin -- locality. I do not consider it expedient to permit construction of new temples in localities where there is strong opposition ---- especially when other sites can be selected.

As regards the other objection of the temple committee that the portion now remaining as"Park" should not be allowed to be -- built upon as it is a sort of a lung space for the houses in the - locality, though I am not called upon to offer my opinion, still I beg to state that the objections to the location of the temple - in the site selected by the Municipal Council applies with equal, if not, greater force to the site proposed by the Member as even here there are houses on both sides. The existence of the present temple has contributed greatly to the congestion of the locality and more buildings should not in my opinion be added to increse -- to congestion. I am therefore of opinion that both on sastraic grounds and public convenience the site now proposed may not be

granted

P.T.O.

granted to the Vaisyas for putting up their temple.

The sketch is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Kuzrai Commissioner.

రిజిస్టర్డ్ లెటర్

కాంప్ మిండగు చర ఆప్పు గారి ప్రతిశ్రీ కింద భింగళ్ళగుండు సిలి మల్లిఖార్జునరావు గారు వ్రాసిన ఉత్తరం లక్ష్మీ కాంప్ మియవర బ్రోవసిద్ధినదవద్దకు వచ్చినదల్లి మేళశ్రీ మంత్రిగుండరు నరసింహన వాంగి కేట్టిభిక్షిందరు ఇరువ శ్రీ బి సాంబశివనాయుడు గుంటూరు వ్రోవసిద్ధినదరికిం డంనిన్ను నోడిదేవు -

శ్రీ గుంటూరు మాలకృష్ణ కాంప్ మియవర దక్షిణాభాగద ల్లి 40 ఆకిరిలో డనన్ను హాకిసిదనంతర జక్కి దనమీటినల్లి మేళశ్రీ మంత్రిగుండరు నరసింహనవాంగి బ్రోవాంలయువసన్ను కేట్టిసి శ్రీ బి జనాందస్ట్ కాప్తమియవరన్ను వరూకశ్రీ శ్రీ కిరంజరాకాంగళొక్కవాంగి ప్రతిష్ఠిసి శతాంగమరొక్కవాంగి యలు శ్రీ గుంటూరు ఆకిరాత్రదింద ఆభిమానందిగళ చన్ను నడిసుపవేక్షిదల్లి మల్లాండ శ్రీ గుంటూరు మాలకృష్ణ కాంప్ మియవర బ్రోవాంలయుంకం శ్రీ శ్రీ కిరంజనాం దనసాప్తమియుంవర బ్రోవాంలయుంకం శ్రీ యూవతిద్ధ పాందకాంకరభిషేకం ఉంటాంగువు దిల్లవు -

ఆదరిశ్రీ గుంటూరు మాలకృష్ణ కాప్తమియు బ్రోవసిద్ధినద ధరర్శకిర్యర వరు బర దిరువంకి శ్రీ శ్రీ కిరంజరాకాంగళొక్కవాంగి మల్లాండంకి నడిసిద స్థదరిస్థలదల్లి కేరువ బ్రోవాంలయువదల్లి జనాందనసాప్తమియవర నూత్న శతమ్రకేరులద్రోవకియిరుంద కిసగ్రకాంప్ రతిమాశ్రీ రిమూర్తియున్ను అనగ్రజ స్థాంశదింద ప్రతిష్ఠిసిరువు నన్ను నడిసి అనగ్రమంత్రిగళింద ప్రారంభసలుగుమాడిసిదరిల్లా వసిష్ఠ కిర్యగిపాంగుళ్ళ చ. వసిష్ఠ శ్రీ గుంటూరు మాలకృష్ణ కాప్తమియవర బ్రోవసిద్ధినవు ఆకిరిసామాంప్ర దల్లి రువు దరింద సాప్తమియవర ప్రదక్షిణాండ కిన్ను సంకిర్యగళన్ను కిరుంబాం ఆనాంశిరిరిగాలు చాంశిరువుదు - కులద్రోవ శిరియున్ను బిట్టు శ్రీ జనాందనసాప్తమియవరన్ను పాంచిరాత్రాగమవుదిం ద ప్రతిష్ఠిసిదరిందువుంవది ద్వజంద కిరిందరిగళుం ఇరువు దిల్లదిం బ్రహ్మాంశవన్ను పడిసిన యరుదింద విజ్ఞాపిసిరువుదిల్లవు -

కిరం 2-8-1939

copy

For Hony Commr

L1

roceedings of the Meeting of the Committee Dharmadarsees of he Sri Venu Gopala Krishnaswami temple Malleswaram, held on ednesday the 14th May 1930.

Read the notice convening the meeting

Resolved as follows -

(1) The committee is given to understand that there is a proposal to allot a portion of the Park to the South of the Temple for the urpose of erecting a Kanyaka Parameswari temple and desires to record its protest against the said proposal on the followng --- grounds -

(a) It is very desirable that the Park which offords lung space for the Extension must not be allowed to be further blocked by permitting new buildings to be constructed therein to the prejudice of the health and comforts of the residents in the neighbourhood.

On a previous occasion, when applications were received for grant of sites in the park for a Rama Bhajana Mandiram and a --- Theosophical Lodge, there was a strong opposition from the residents on both sides of the Park and the Municipality refused the applications.

(b) The Venu Gopala Krishnaswami Temple, which is a Muzrai Institution, was consecrated in the year 1902-the year of the installation of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, according to Pancharatra Agama, and the daily and periodical services at the temple are being conducted ever since in conformity with the said Agama

It is an Agamic injunction that ~~xxx~~ deities installed according to different Agamas should not come in view of each other.

During all these 28 years, it h s ~~xx~~ been the Mamul to take the deities of ~~xxx~~ this temple in procession periodically along the East and est Park Roads bounded by the 7th & 11th Cross Roads.

The erection of a New temple of Sri Kanyaka Parames wari is likely to interfere with the conduct of these periodical processions.

2. Under these circumstances the committee would suggest the allotment of a site outside the Park area aforesaid for the proposed Kanyaka Parameswari temple to avoid future complications

(Sd.) R. Narasi hachar,
President of the Committee of Dharmadarsis of
the Sri Venu Gopala Krishnaswami temple, Malleswaram

"C o p y"

For Deputy Commissioner.

No:L2506 dt 19 9 30
Enc: Sketch & Records

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City
THROUGH the President, City Ml.Cl. (U)
Bangalore City.

Ml 43-29-86

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. G.To.12 dated the 11/16th July 1930, stating that the Member of Council inspected the locality on 8th July 1930 and opined that the vacant plot marked "J" to the south of Sri Venugopalakrishnaswamy Temple may be given to the Vaisya Community for constructing Sri Janardanaswamy Temple in the Malleswaram Extension, I am directed to request that a --- report may be submitted in consultation with the principal Brahman and Vaisya residents of the locality as to whether, apart from the -- site first recommended by the Municipal Council and the site now selected by the Member of Council, there are any other convenient sites suited for building a temple in the --- Extension.

Signed by M.V.S Sastry

2. The sketch and the records received with your letter under reply are herewith -- sent for reference and return.

I have etc.,

11.9.30

DRAFT)
Gl. Secry to Govt.

12/9/30

K.M.R.1.
9/9.

Mdl 43-29-88

No GTo 124

CITY MUNICIPAL OFFICE,

Bangalore City, Dated 10th Novr, 193 0.

SECTT. 11

L 2448

14 NOV 1930

L 93 11/30

From

C MACHIA, ESQ, B A,
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE CITY.

To

R.Ranga Rao,Esq,B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your No.L.2506/M1.43-29-86 dated the 19th September 1930 requesting that a report may be submitted in consultation with the principal Brahmin and Vaisya residents of the locality as to whether there are any other convenient sites suited for the temple of Sri Janardana-swamy ~~temple~~ apart from the site recommended by the Municipal Council and the one now selected by the Member of Council, I have the honour to inform you that the principal Brahmins and Vaisya residents of the locality were consulted and they are of opinion that there is no other site in the locality convenient

G R S App F VI G-2]
SUBJECT

47

Diary No of letter replied to L 2446

General and Revenue Secretariat

the ( ) *193* .

Date of issue 17/12/30

Signed by Mr. V. S Sastri

Sec ML
File No. 43-29
Serial No 89

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter No G-20 124 dated the 10th/11th November 1930, from the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City Municipality, soliciting, under the circumstances explained ~~Order thereon No~~ sanction to the resolution of the M.C. dated the 17th May 1930 to the effect that a portion of the Halleswaram Park Ground measuring 100'×15 9½' leaving 10 ft on the east & Western sides for widening the road

P.T.

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

may be granted to the
Vaisya residents of the
Malleswaram Extension
for putting up Sri Janardana-
Swami Temple and
stating that the principal
Brahmin & Vaisya residents
of the locality who were
consulted in the matter
were of opinion that
~~there is~~ no other site is
available & convenient
for building a temple
and that the Vaisya
residents prefer the
site already recommended
by the Municipal Council.
Order No. L.5165-8
Dt 17-12- 1930.

The resolution of the
M.C. Dt 17.5.1930 in the
matter is sanctioned.
Dt 26.11.30
To The Municipal Engineer & Conl.
" The M.C. Commr. thro' the President
" Municipal Commr. Bangalore City M.C.
" Engineer to Govt. Commr. Bangalore Divn.

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 02560 -GPB -11,250 -27.30

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

-R e a d:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.G.To.124 dated, the 10/11th November 1930, from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, soliciting under the circumstances explained sanction to the resolution of the Municipal Council dated, the 17th May 1930 to the effect that a portion of the Malleswaram Park Grounds measuring 100' x 159½' leaving 10 feet on the east and western sides for widening the road may be granted to the Vaisya residents of the Malleswaram Extension for putting up Sri Janardanaswami Temple and stating that the principal Brahmin and Vaisya residents of the locality, who were consulted in the matter were of opinion that no other site is available and convenient for building a temple and that the Vaisya residents prefer the site already recommended by the Municipal Council.

ORDER NO.L. 5165-8/ne 43-29-89

Dated, Bangalore, the 17th December 1930.

The resolution of the Municipal Council dated, the 17th May 1930 in the matter is sanctioned.

V Sahasranamayyar

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City, THROUGH the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

K.M.R.7.
15/12.